

## Communication from Public

**Name:** marianne king

**Date Submitted:** 09/26/2022 04:50 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0828

**Comments for Public Posting:** I support Alternative 1 because it involves the least amount of destruction with respect to grading, tree and shrub removal, and maintains existing wildlife habitat and connectivity. At a time when this city is finally starting to pay attention in trying to protect existing wildlife habitat and wildlife corridors, why should we support the removal of sensitive habitat areas as well as more tree removals? I believe the expansion of zoo enclosures can and should be done without excessive long term construction and stress on the existing animals. I do not support the expansion of more zoo exhibits, meaning adding more zoo animals for the public viewing pleasure and for financial gain. I would like to see the zoo focus more on conservation and wildlife care.